Ecological Clustering of Integrity and Nonlinear Impact of Environmental Variables on Cyanobacteria

Vladimir Novotny, Elias Manolakos and Ferdinand Hellweger Northeastern University

Expanded Keynote Presentation at the 5th International Conference on Reservoir Limnology and Water Quality Brno, August 2006

© V. Novotny

Ch. 12

Vladimir No

Outline

- i. Introduction of measures of biotic integrity
- ii. Application to a highly stressed river
- iii. Regional analyses of clustering of biotic integrity Self organizing maps (SOM)
- iv. Predictive models of biotic integrity
- v. Application to reservoirs and blue greens
- vi. New models for blue green algae (cyanobacteria)

ECOLOGIC POTENTIAL WATERBODY INTEGRITY

 Maintaining and improving water body integrity is the goal of the Clean Water Act

- Habitat (physical)
- Chemical
- Biological

Integrity: "A balanced, adaptive community of organisms having a species composition and diversity comparable to or approaching that of natural biota of the region" (Karr et al.)



Measures of Water body Integrity

- Physical
 Chemical
 Biological
 - Fish
 - Macroinvertebrates
 - ICI
 - Hilsenhoff/, Saprobien
- Periphyton (?)

Habitat index Water Quality Standards Indices of Biotic Integrity





Index of Biotic Integrity (Karr 1981)

<u>12 Metrics</u>

- Species richness
- #Darter species
- #Sunfish species
- #Sucker species
- %Intolerant species
- %Green sunfish
- %Omnivores
- %Insectivores
- %Top Carnivores
- %Hybrids
- %Diseased individuals
- Number of Fish

Community Composition

Environmental Tolerance

Community Function

Community Condition • 5,3,1 metric scoring categories.

- 12 to 60 scoring range.
- Calibrated on a regional basis.
- Scoring adjustments needed for very low numbers.

Invertebrate Community Index (Ohio EPA 1987; DeShon 1995)

- Taxa Richness
- #Mayfly taxa
- #Caddisfly taxa
- #Dipteran taxa
- %Mayflies
- %Caddisflies
- %Tanytarsini Midges
- %Other Diptera/Non-Insects
- %Tolerant taxa
- Qualitative EPT taxa

- 6,4,2,0 metric scoring categories.
- 0 to 60 scoring range.
- Calibrated on regional basis.
- Scoring adjustments needed for very low numbers of specific taxa.

The Qualitative Habitat Evaluation Index (QHEI)

QHEI Includes Six Major Categories of Macrohabitat

- Substrate types, origin, quality, embeddedness
- Instream Cover types and amounts
- Channel Quality sinuosity, development, stability
- Riparian/Bank Stability width, quality, bank erosion
- Pool/Riffle/Run max. depth, current types, morphology, substrate embeddedness
- Gradient local gradient (varies by drainage area) Channelization

Source: The Qualitative Habitat Evaluation Index (Rankin 1989)

HIERARCHICAL MODEL CONCEPT OF RISK PROPAGATION FROM STRESSORS TO BIOTIC ENDPOINTS



LAYER 4

LANDSCAPE/ATMOSPHERIC STRESSES

Novotny et al, Water Research, 2005

STREAMS AND RESERVOIRS

- Free flowing streams
- Deep and stratified reservoirs
- Shallow unstratified reservoirs
 - Navigation
 - Water supply
 - Power generation
 - Irrigation
 - Powered numerous mills before 1900's but have no purpose now

Some flood control



Lower Des Plaines River – an effluent dominated impounded water body

Brandon Road pool in Joliet with Bicentennial park

STARASISTS.

Dresden Island Pool downstream of the Brandon Road Dam

BURE PAR

Dresden Island Pool





I-55 bridge

Near Empress Casino

Better Habitat

Thermal pollution by two large power plants

Enriched by nutrients

Navigation – minimal water level fluctuations

Limited recreation



Industrial zone

Ohio IBI Scores Calculated for Selected Impounded Illinois Waterways



Simplistic Linking of Stresses to Integrity



Schueller (1994)

• A watershed is impaired if % imperviousness is more than X percent

Reversible vs.
 irreversible
 stresses

 Imperviousness is a surrogate for many "bad" things that lead to impairment

Systems Being Modeled

- The Northeastern University team has retrieved from large data bases relationships between the fish and macro-invertebrate IBIs and their metrics (Level 1 of the risk propagation pyramid) and level 3 and 4 stressors for rivers
 - Benthic Macroinvetebrate IBI serves two purposes
 - It is a biotic endpoint
 - It is a surrogate for sediment contamination
- The models are statewide. The state date bases have 1000 to 2000 sites with often multiple observations
 - Ohio
 - Maryland
 - Wisconsin
 - Minnesota
 - Massachusetts

Sample MSRLs - Ohio



MSR = Maximum Species Richnessdefined by 95 percentile

Dealing with Multiple Stressors and multiple endpoints

- Many current approaches are incapable of dealing with multiple stressors directly.
- Most single stressor risk assessments assume stressors are additive.
- Artificial neural networks (ANN) are capable of considering multiple inputs and outputs and evaluating their relative impact.
- Many stressors are cross-correlated

MODELING APPROACHES

Artificial Neural Networks (ANN)

Learning

- Unsupervised: Pattern detection
 - Self-organized Mapping
- Supervised: Output tracking and predictions

- Feed forward ANN

- Back propagation ANN

Advanced Multi-regression Analysis

- Canonical Correspondence Analysis
 - Used for post analysis of impact and determining of cluster dominating parameters
- Principal Component Analysis

Clustering of IBIs and their metrics

Output Nodes



Self Organizing Map

knowledge data mining by unsupervised learning with Artificial Neural Nets SOM clustering of Fish IBI metrics for the State of Ohio

Distribution of Metrics - OH



Water Chemistry I - OH



Physical Habitat and Land



Correlation matrix over the SOM

	SPSCORE		-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+				1
DAD	DSRNSCORE		-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	+		-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+		-	-	0.9
	SUNSCORE		-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+				<u> </u>
S	UMINSCORE		-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+				0.0
grity	INTSCORE		-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+		-	-	0.7
Inte	TOLSCORE		-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+				0.0
Sof	OMNISCORE		-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+				0.6
ìdic€	INSSCORE		-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+		-	_	0.5
nd Ir	TPIOSCORE		-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+				0.4
cs al	NUMSCORE		-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+		-	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+				0.4
1etri	PWNSCORE		-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	+		-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+		-	-	0.3
2	DELSCORE		-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-					~ ~
	IBI		-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+		-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+				0.2
	QHEI		-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+			-	0.1
	ICI		-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+				~
		TEMPERATURE	CONDUCTIVITY	8	BOD	H	TSS	AMMONIA	NITRITE	NML	NITRATE	PHOSPHORUS	HARDNESS	CALCIUM	MAGNESIUM	CHLORIDE	SULPHATE	ARSENIC	8	ß	NORI	B	R	SUBSTRATE	EMBEDDED	COVER	CHANNEL	RIPARIAN	POOL	RIFFLE	GRADIENT_S	PER_AG	PER_FORWET	PER_URBDEV			U

Land Cover

Distribution of clusters and cluster dominating parameters (CDP)



Agriculture in northwest Ohio

Canonical Correspondence Analysis of the impact of environmental variables within clusters determined Cluster Dominating Parameters

Visualizations of ICI and QHIE

SOM visualization and Clustered Boxplots for ICI





SOM visualization and Clustered Boxplots for QHEI







ICI macroinvertebrate index habitat quality index QHIE

Relative Rankings of environmental variables

Environmental Variables explaning the maximum variation in fish distribution in Ohio EMBEDDED RIFFLE SUBSTRATE CHANNEL RIPARIAN POOL HARDNESS IRON COVER SULPHATE BOD PER FORWET PER AG TKN TSS DO CONDUCTIVITY GRADIENT S ABSENIC AMMONIA

04

0.6

Normalized Length of the arrow from the CCA plot

0.8

1

02

0

 Length of the environmental variables in Canonical Correspondence Analysis indicates their importance in explaining the variation in species distribution

 Habitat parameters dominate the top 10.

Quick Introduction to Supervised ANN Models



A model of a layered network (Demuth and Beale 1992)

Supervised Learning ANN

- Supervised learning techniques used to develop prediction of Fish IBIs.
- Several feed-forward backpropagation networks developed and tested to assess what kind of structures could work with the given dataset
- Input included chemical and habitat quality measurements (and also ICI in some models).
- Targets for prediction: Fish IBI & metrics.
- Modeled: Ohio, Maryland, Wisconsin, Minnesota

Fish IBI Prediction Models (Ohio)

Id	Model entification	Model structure	r-train	r-valid	r-test
Clipp	ed_10*	33v, 35 in, 50hn, 1on	0.703	0.635	0.615
Clipp Regre	ed Multiple ession_1*	33v	0.617	0.568	0.389
C2_2	2	33v, 35, 35, 1	0.756	0.715	0.691
C2_6	5**	10v, 10, 20, 1	0.658	0.65	0.643
C2_1	14	33v, 35, 50, 1	0.857	0.707	0.662

V = number of parameters ; in= # of input neurons, hn= # hidden layer neurons, on = # of output neurons

Out of 1149 data set 60% were used for training, 20% for validation and 20% for testing

- * Values with IBI<15 or > 57 not included (Full range of IBI is 12 to 60)
- **Top 10 variables based on CCA ranking (Embeddedness, riffle, substrate, channel, riparian, pool, cover, iron, hardness, sulphate)

Models C2_14 (top) Vs. C2_6 (bottom): Training (left) & Testing (right) - OHIO



APPLICATIONS

- Site specific biotic criteria and ecologic potential can be ascertained
- Riverine impoundments affect adversely key parameters
 - Embeddedness and gradient (cross-correlated), siltation, cover, pool/riffle, spawning
 - DO (reaeration)

 Impact of remedial action (e.g., decommissioning of the impoundments) can be ascertained

Application to Blue - Greens



Czech Republic has about 24 000 reservoirs and ponds, 70% suffer from cyanobacteria blooms

Cyanobacteria (Blue Green Algae) in Lake Mendota, Madison, WI

Cyanobacteria produce toxins, cause rash to swimmers, bad taste and odor



Vollenweider's Completely Mixed Lake Schematics

W= loading (Mass/time) V=volume Q=flow p=concentration V_s = settling velocity A_s = surface area

Q, p

$$\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow$$

Vs p

$$V\frac{dp}{dt} = W - V_s A_s p - Qp$$



(m/year) H 🕈

Current wide spread models

Simulate algal growth and nutrient uptake and impact on DO regime

QUAL2- quasi dynamic model

WASP - EUTRO for streams and stratified impoundments - a dynamic time variable model with some restrictions

HSP-F - receiving water quality model

Problem!! Most of the previous concepts do not work for cyanobacteria that are becoming a widespread worldwide nuisance



Blue – Greens in Czech Republic



75% or reservoirs have been infected



PEA SOUP IN CHINA

ECOLOGY

Doing Battle With the Green Monster of Taihu Lak

In attempting to subdue a vicious algal bloom scientists aim to r

Cyanobacteria (Blue Green Algae)

- Cyanobacteria have been around for more than 3 billion years
- These microorganisms are responsible for atmospheric dissolved oxygen
- Some species can assimilate atmospheric nitrogen
- They are ubiquitous to nature
- They prefer warmer water bodies
- They produce toxins and impair taste and odor

Some cyanobacteria fix atmospheric nitrogen and encapsulate into akinetes



Akinetes settle into sediments where they overwinter and can take up phosphorus. They can stay in the sediment for several years and rise into water when conditions are favorable. They have a preference for higher temperatures (impact of global warming)

HIERARCHICAL MODEL CONCEPT OF RISK PROPAGATION FROM STRESSORS TO BIOTIC ENDPOINTS



LAYER 4

LANDSCAPE/ATMOSPHERIC STRESSES

Novotny et al, Water Research, 2005

Hierarchical Organization – SOM Application

- Endpoints (Layer 1)
 - Diatoms, Greens, Blue-greens, fish IBI and its metrics, chlorophyll a, DO fluctuations
- Risks and water body stresses (2 and 3)
 - Nutrients, BOD/COD, silica, temperature, pH, alkalinity, hardness, light input
 - Retention time, stratification potential, depth
 - Physical: Elongation, shading, buffers, elevation, water level variability
- Allochthonous (Layer 4)
 - Pollutant inputs point and nonpoint, atmospheric
 - Landscape/land use, land use changes, elevation
 - Hydrologic flow, flow variability

Minimum number of sites ~ 60s

Modeling

One site only – current mechanistic models (e.g., AquaTox, it does not account for dormant akinete conditions and settling into sediment) – PROTECH. Such models treat algae like chemicals, i.e. describe them as µg Chl/l

Models developed by data mining –Many sites

- SOM unsupervised learning, clustering
- Multiple input and multiple output by Supervised ANN learning with the key cluster dominating parameters
- Hybrid models One site
 - Agent Based Modeling
 - Water and sediment quality by traditional mass balance dynamic models

Agent Based Modeling

- Describes the life cycle of cyanobacteria
- Describes the microorganisms as "supper individuals", i.e. thousands of groups of hundreds of individuals (out of millions)
- The organisms represented by a super individual respond to the environmental stresses in a similar fashion, e.g., together they die off, convert to akinete, settle to sediment, feed on phosphorus or germinate

Conditions affecting cyanobacteria (Kravchuk, 2006)

Temperature

- Phosphorus concentration
- Hydraulic conditions
- Light
- Grazing by zooplankton

Algal blooms occur suddenly even when nutrients controls have been implemented (Lake Delavan, Charles River)

Agent Based Modeling

- See Hellweger, Kravchuk, Novotny and Gladyshev (2008) Agent based modeling of the complex lifecycle of Cyanobacterium (Anabena) in a shallow lake, *Limnologia and Oceanographia* 53(4), 2008
- Agent base models follow a history of of thousands of "superagents" and behavior, life cycle and resting stages in water and sediment

Preliminary results

Conventional dynamic completely mixed lake model calculated environmental v variables affecting cyanobacteria. Both water and sediment were simulated.



Preliminary results

Model calibration to Anabaena (a) vegetative cells in the water column, (b) akinete cells in the water column, (c) akinete cells in the sediment bed, +/- 1 standard error (top 1 cm). Lines are model predictions and symbols are data from Kravchuk et al. (2006).

(Hellweger et al., 2008)



Conclusion on cyanobacteria

- The preliminary research documents the utility of agent based modeling for cyanobacteria
- Most of the phosphorus input occurs in the sediment in the akinete stage
- During the bloom most of the algae cells originate from germinated akinetes deposited into the sediment in the preceding years
- Formation of the resting stages (akinetes) is critical to the survival of the cyanobacteria

THANK YOU

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (The Des Plaines River)

US Environmental Protection Agency STAR Watershed Research Grant to Northeastern University

Also check how we are doing at

www.coe.neu.edu/environment